

ANALYTICITY OF NONLINEAR SEMIGROUPS

BY

KIYOKO FURUYA

Department of Mathematics,

Ochanomizu University, 2-1-1, Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112, Japan

ABSTRACT

The Cauchy problem $du/dt = Au(t)$, $u(0) = u_0 \in D(A)$ has analytic solutions when A has first and second Gateaux derivatives along the solution curve in a certain weak sense. Here A is a maximal monotone operator in a complex Hilbert space.

0. Introduction

In this paper we discuss nonlinear holomorphic semigroups in Hilbert spaces, intending to remove the assumption of the complex Fréchet differentiability of “resolvent” of $A: (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$.

K. Yosida [11] first established analyticity of semigroups of linear operators. T. Kato–H. Tanabe [5] and K. Masuda [9] considered linear holomorphic evolution operators. In case of semilinear and quasilinear equations several authors discussed analyticity of the solutions (S. Ōuchi [10], Hayden–Massey [4], Massey [8], Furuya [2, 3]).

Y. Kōmura [6] gave the relation of nonlinear holomorphic semigroups to resolvents of generators. Instead of linearity of A , he assumed complex Fréchet differentiability of the resolvent of A .

In the present paper we assume only “temporal analyticity” of A , more precisely we consider analyticity for the equation $du(t)/dt \in Au(t)$ under the assumption that A has first and second Gateaux derivatives along the solution curve in a certain weak sense.

The author wishes to express her sincere gratitude to Professor Y. Kōmura for his kind advice.

Received March 1, 1989

1. Main theorem

We establish analyticity in t of solutions to nonlinear evolution equations:

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u(t) = Au(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(0) = u_0 \in D(A).$$

Let $(H, \| \cdot \|)$ be a complex Hilbert space with norm $\| \cdot \|$.

We assume the following conditions:

(A.1) A is a single valued maximal monotone operator from H to H .

(A.2) G is a normed space with norm $\| \cdot \|_G$. G contains H and $\| \cdot \|_G \cong \| \cdot \|$.

(A.3) There is a single valued operator A_G from H to G with restriction $A_G|_{D(A)} = A$ and $D(A_G) = H$.

(A.4) For any $x \in D(A)$ there exist a linear function $\partial A(x)$ from H to G , $L > 0$, and $\alpha(x) > 0$ satisfying the following:

$$(1.3) \quad A_G(x + \lambda Ax + \varepsilon(\lambda)) = Ax + \lambda \partial A(x)Ax + \eta(\lambda),$$

$$\| \partial A(x)Ax \|_G \leq L \| Ax \| \quad \text{for } |\lambda| \leq \alpha(x), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$\| \eta(\lambda) \|_G \leq H(\lambda) = o(|\lambda|), \quad \| \varepsilon(\lambda) \| \leq E(\lambda) = o(|\lambda|),$$

$$\inf\{\alpha(x); \| x \| < N\} = \alpha_N > 0.$$

(A.5) There exist a constant $\omega \in (0, \pi/2)$ and a “resolvent” operator $(A + \lambda)^{-1}$ satisfying $D((A + \lambda)^{-1}) = H$ for $|\arg \lambda| < \pi/2 + \omega$.

(A.6) $\| (A + \lambda)^{-1}x - (A + \lambda)^{-1}y \| \leq (\sup\{\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta}\lambda); |\theta| < \omega\})^{-1} \| x - y \|$ for $|\arg \lambda| \leq \pi/2 + \omega$, $x, y \in H$.

(A.7) For any $x \in D(A)$ there exist a linear operator $\partial^2 A$ from H to $L(H; L(H; G))$ and a function $\varepsilon(\lambda) \geq 0$ satisfying

$$(1.4) \quad \partial A(x + \lambda Ax + \varepsilon(\lambda))y = \partial A(x)y + \lambda \partial^2 A(x, Ax)y + \xi(\lambda)y,$$

$$\| \varepsilon(\lambda) \| \leq E(\lambda) = o(|\lambda|), \quad \| \xi(\lambda) \|_{L(H,G)} \leq Z(\lambda) = o(|\lambda|^2).$$

Here $L(X; Y)$ is the space of linear operators from X to Y .

THEOREM 1. *For some complex sector $\Sigma_\theta = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; |\arg t| < \theta\}$, there exists a holomorphic function u which satisfies the following Cauchy problem:*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} u(t) = Au(t), & t \in \Sigma_\theta, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in D(A). \end{cases}$$

2. Preliminaries

First, we cite a known theorem:

THEOREM A. *Let H be a Hilbert space and $-A$ be a maximal monotone operator from H to H . Then the Cauchy problem*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u(t) = Au(t), \quad 0 < t,$$

$$(2.2) \quad u(0) = u_0 \in D(A),$$

has a unique solution $u(t)$.

REMARK. For properties of maximal monotone operators and the Cauchy problem (2.1) and (2.2), see Brezis [1] or Kōmura–Konishi [7].

LEMMA 1. *For any θ , $|\theta| < \omega$, $-e^{i\theta}A$ is a maximal monotone operator.*

PROOF. By virtue of (A.5) we have only to show the monotonicity of $-e^{i\theta}A$. By (A.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda + e^{i\theta}A)^{-1}x - (\lambda + e^{i\theta}A)^{-1}y\| &= \|(e^{-i\theta}\lambda + A)^{-1}x - (e^{-i\theta}\lambda + A)^{-1}y\| \\ &\leq (\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta}\lambda))^{-1} \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *Let $u(t)$ be a solution to (1.5). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad u(s) = u_0 + sAu_0 + \varepsilon(s) \quad \text{for any } 0 < s < \delta$$

where $\|\varepsilon(s)\| \leq C\varepsilon$, C is a positive constant.

PROOF. By the relation

$$\frac{d^+}{dt} u(t) \Big|_{u(t)=u_0} = Au_0,$$

we get (2.3).

LEMMA 3. Let $u(t)$ be a solution to (1.5). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad u(t) = u(s) + (t - s)Au(s) + \varepsilon(t - s) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t, \quad |t - s| < \delta$$

where $\|\varepsilon(t - s)\| \leq C\varepsilon|t - s|$.

PROOF. This follows from (A.4), (A.1) and

$$\left\| \frac{d^+}{dt} u(s) \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{d^+}{dt} u(0) \right\| \quad \text{for any } s > 0.$$

LEMMA 4. For a solution $u(t)$ to (1.5), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} u(s) &= u_0 + \int_0^s Au(r)dr \\ &= u_0 + \int_0^s [Au_0 + r\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(r)]dr \quad \text{for } s \leq \alpha(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Lemma 2 and (A.4) we have

$$Au(r) = A(u_0 + rAu_0 + \varepsilon(r)) = Au_0 + r\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(r).$$

Let $0 < \theta < \omega$ be fixed. By Lemma 1, there exists a unique solution $v'(s)$ (resp. $\tilde{v}'(s)$) to (2.6) and (2.7) (resp. (2.8) and (2.9)):

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{dv'}{ds} \in e^{i\theta}Av'(s), \quad 0 < s \leq T,$$

$$(2.7) \quad v'(0) = u(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T$$

where $u(t)$ is a solution to (1.5);

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d\tilde{v}'}{ds} \in e^{-i\theta}A\tilde{v}'(s), \quad 0 < s \leq T,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{v}'(0) = u(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

Let

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} u(0) = u_0, \\ u(t): \text{ solution to (1.5) for } 0 < t \leq T, \\ u(z) = v'(s), \quad \text{where } z = t + se^{i\theta}, \quad 0 < t, s \leq T, \\ u(z) = \tilde{v}'(s), \quad \text{where } z = t + se^{-i\theta}, \quad 0 < t, s \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Let $\square_s = \square(t_0, s, \theta)$ be the parallelogram with vertices at $t_0, t_0 + s, t_0 + s + se^{i\theta}$ and $t_0 + se^{i\theta}$, and define

$$\int_{\square_s} f dz = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+s} f dz + \int_{t_0+s}^{t_0+s+se^{i\theta}} f dz + \int_{t_0+s+se^{i\theta}}^{t_0+se^{i\theta}} f dz + \int_{t_0+se^{i\theta}}^{t_0} f dz.$$

We shall show that $\int_{\square_s} u(z) dz = 0$.

Let $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \eta(r) dr$ and $\check{\Phi}(t) = \int_0^t \Phi(r) dr$.

LEMMA 5. *There exist constants $C_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon(t)\| &\leq C_1 t, & \|\xi(t)\|_{L(H,G)} &\leq C_2 t^2, & \|\eta(t)\|_G &\leq C_3 t, \\ \|\Phi(t)\|_G &\leq C_4 t^2, & \|\check{\Phi}(t)\|_G &\leq C_5 t^3. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is easy and omitted.

Let $u(t)$ be a solution to (2.1) and (2.2). Set

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \sup\{\|u(t)\|; 0 \leq t \leq T\}, \\ M_2 &= \sup\{\|Au(t)\|; 0 \leq t \leq T\}, \\ M_3 &= \sup\{\|\partial A(u(t))Au(t)\|_G; 0 \leq t \leq T\}, \\ M_4 &= \sup\{\|(s-t)\partial^2 A(u(t), Au(t))Au(t)\|_{L(H,G)}; 0 \leq t < s \leq T\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. *Every constant M_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) is finite.*

PROOF. Since $u(t)$ is a solution to (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\|Au(t)\| \leq \|Au_0\| \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq t.$$

This implies

$$(2.11) \quad M_2 = \|Au_0\|$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad M_1 \leq \|u_0\| + T \|Au_0\|.$$

By (A.4) we have $\|\partial A(u(t))Au(t)\|_G \leq L \|Au(t)\|$. This implies

$$(2.13) \quad M_3 \leq LM_2.$$

If $s > t$ and $s - t$ is sufficiently small, by (A.7) we get

$$\partial A(u(s))x = \partial A(u(t)) + (s-t)\partial^2 A(u(t), Au(t))x + \xi(s-t)x.$$

Then using (2.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(s-t)\partial^2 A(u(t), Au(t))x\|_G &\leq \|\partial A(u(s)) - \partial A(u(t) - \xi(s-t))\|_{L(H,G)} \|x\| \\ &\leq (2LM_2 + C_2(s-t)^2) \|x\| \\ &\leq (2LM_2 + C_2T^2) \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we get

$$(2.14) \quad M_4 \leq 2LM_2 + C_2T^2.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

For simplicity we assume $t_0 = 0$. We abbreviate A_G to A and $\|\cdot\|_G$ to $\|\cdot\|$. Let

$$(3.1) \quad u(0) = u_0 \in D(A), \quad u(s) = u(0) + \int_0^s Au(r)dr,$$

$$(3.2) \quad v(0) = u(t), \quad v(s) = v(0) + \int_0^s e^{i\theta} Av(r)dr,$$

$$(3.3) \quad w(0) = u(0), \quad w(s) = w(0) + \int_0^s e^{i\theta} Aw(r)dr,$$

$$(3.4) \quad P(0) = w(t), \quad P(s) = P(0) + \int_0^s AP(r)dr,$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{I} &= \int_0^t u(s)ds, \quad \text{II} = \int_0^t v(s)ds, \quad \text{III} = \int_0^s w(s)ds, \\ \text{IV} &= \int_0^t P(s)ds, \quad \text{V} = \int_0^t [P(s) - v^s(t)]ds. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 7.

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{I} &= \int_0^t u(s)ds \\ &= tu_0 + \frac{t^2}{2} Au_0 + \frac{t^3}{6} \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \check{\Phi}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\Phi}(t) = \int_0^t \Phi(s)ds$ and $\Phi(s) = \int_0^s \eta(r)dr$.

PROOF. By (3.1) and Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad u(s) &= u_0 + \int_0^s Au(r)dr \\
 &= u_0 + \int_0^s [Au_0 + r\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(r)]dr \\
 &= u_0 + sAu_0 + \frac{s^2}{2}\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \Phi(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

by integrating $u(s)$ from 0 to t we obtain (3.6).

LEMMA 8.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad \Pi &= \int_0^t v(s)ds \\
 &= tu_0 + t^2Au_0 + \frac{t^3}{2}\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + t\Phi(t) \\
 &\quad + e^{i\theta}\frac{t^2}{2}(Au_0 + t\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(t)) \\
 &\quad + e^{2i\theta}\frac{t^3}{6}(\partial A(u_0) + t\partial^2 A(u_0, Au_0) + \xi(t)) \\
 &\quad \times (Au_0 + t\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \varepsilon(t)) + \tilde{\Phi}(t)e^{i\theta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad v(s) &= v(0) + \int_0^s e^{i\theta}Av(r)dr \\
 &= u_0 + \int_0^t Au(r)dr + \int_0^s e^{i\theta}Av(r)dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (A.4) and (A.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad Av(r) &= A(v(0) + e^{i\theta}rAv(0) + \varepsilon(r)) \\
 &= Av(0) + e^{i\theta}r\partial A(v(0))Av(0) + \eta(r) \\
 &= Au_0 + t\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(t) \\
 &\quad + e^{i\theta}r(\partial A(u_0) + t\partial^2 A(u_0, Au_0) + \xi(t)) \\
 &\quad \times (Au_0 + t\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \varepsilon(t)) + \eta(r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.9), (3.8) is easily obtained.

LEMMA 9.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{III} &= \int_0^t w(s)ds \\
 (3.11) \quad &= tu_0 + e^{i\theta} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} Au_0 + e^{i\theta} \frac{t^3}{6} \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \tilde{\Phi}(t) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. From (3.1) and (3.3) it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad w(s) = w(0) + \int_0^s e^{i\theta} Aw(r)dr = u_0 + \int_0^s e^{i\theta} Aw(r)dr.$$

From (A.4), (3.3) and Lemma 2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad Aw(r) &= A(w(0) + e^{i\theta}rAu_0 + \varepsilon(r)) \\
 &= Au_0 + e^{i\theta}r\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(r).
 \end{aligned}$$

(3.12) and (3.13) imply

$$(3.14) \quad w(s) = u_0 + e^{i\theta} \left(sAu_0 + e^{i\theta} \frac{s^2}{2} \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \Phi(s) \right).$$

By integrating $w(s)$ from 0 to t we obtain (3.11).

LEMMA 10.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{IV} &= \int_0^t P(s)ds \\
 &= tu_0 + \frac{t^2}{2} ((Au_0 + \eta(t)) + \xi(t)) \\
 &\quad + \frac{t^3}{6} (\partial A(u_0)(Au_0 + \eta(t)) + \xi(t)(Au_0 + \eta(t))) \\
 (3.15) \quad &+ e^{i\theta} \left(t^2 Au_0 + t\Phi(t) + \frac{t^3}{2} \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \frac{t^4}{6} \partial^2 A(u_0, Au_0)(Au_0 + \eta(t)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{t^4}{6} \partial A(u_0)\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \xi(t) \frac{t^4}{6} \partial A(u_0)Au_0 \right) \\
 &\quad + e^{2i\theta} \left(\frac{t^3}{2} \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \frac{t^5}{6} \partial^2 A(u_0, Au_0)\partial A(u_0)Au_0 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By (3.4) we get

$$(3.16) \quad P(s) = P(0) + \int_0^s AP(r)dr = w(t) + \int_0^s AP(r)dr.$$

From (3.12) it follows that

$$(3.17) \quad P(0) = u_0 + e^{i\theta} \left(tAu_0 + e^{i\theta} \frac{t^2}{2} \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \Phi(t) \right).$$

By Lemma 2 and (A.4) we get

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} AP(r) &= A(P(0) + r\partial AP(0) + \varepsilon(r)) \\ &= Aw(t) + r\partial A(w(t))Aw(t) + \eta(r). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.13) we have

$$(3.19) \quad Aw(r) = Au_0 + e^{i\theta} t \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(t).$$

By (A.7) we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} Aw(t) &= \partial A(u_0 + e^{i\theta} tAu_0 + \varepsilon(t)) \\ &= \partial A(u_0) + e^{i\theta} t \partial^2 A(u_0, Au_0) + \xi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then from (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20) we get

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} P(s) &= u_0 + e^{i\theta} \left(tAu_0 + e^{i\theta} \frac{t^2}{2} \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \Phi(t) \right) \\ &\quad + s(Au_0 + e^{i\theta} t \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(t)) \\ &\quad + \frac{s^2}{2} ((\partial A(u_0) + e^{i\theta} t \partial^2 A(u_0, Au_0) + \xi(t)) \\ &\quad \times (Au_0 + e^{i\theta} t \partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(t)) + s\xi(t)). \end{aligned}$$

By integrating $P(s)$ from 0 to t we have (3.15).

LEMMA 11.

$$\begin{aligned}
 V &= \int_0^t [P(s) - v^s(t)] ds \\
 &= \frac{t^4}{12} e^{i\theta} (\partial A(u_0) \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) A u_0) \\
 (3.22) \quad &- \Phi(t) + \frac{t^2}{2} \eta(t) + \frac{t^2}{2} \xi(t) + \frac{t^3}{6} \xi(t) (A u_0 + e^{i\theta} t \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + \eta(t)) \\
 &- \frac{t^2}{2} e^{i\theta} \int_0^t [(\partial A(u_0) + s \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0)) \varepsilon(s) \\
 &\quad + \xi(s) (A u_0 + s \partial A(u_0) A u_0) + \varepsilon(s) \xi(s)] ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By (2.6), (2.7), (3.1), (A.4) and Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v^s(t) &= u(s) + \int_0^s e^{i\theta} A v^s(r) dr \\
 &= u_0 + s A u_0 + \frac{s^2}{2} \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + \Phi(s) \\
 &\quad + e^{i\theta} \int_0^s [A u_0 + s \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + \eta(s) \\
 &\quad + e^{i\theta} r (\partial A(u_0) + s \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) + \xi(s)) \\
 &\quad \times (A u_0 + s \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + \varepsilon(s)) + \eta(r)] dr \\
 (3.23) \quad &= u_0 + s A u_0 + \frac{s^2}{2} \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + \Phi(s) \\
 &\quad + e^{i\theta} (t A u_0 + s t \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + t \eta(s)) \\
 &\quad + \frac{t^2}{2} e^{i\theta} (\partial A(u_0) A u_0 + s \partial A(u_0) (\partial A(u_0) A(u_0)) \\
 &\quad + s \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) A u_0 + s^2 \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) (\partial A(u_0) A u_0) \\
 &\quad + (\partial A(u_0) + s \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) + \xi(s)) \varepsilon(s) \\
 &\quad + \xi(s) (A u_0 + s \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + \varepsilon(s))) + \Phi(t) \varepsilon^{2i\theta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.21) and (3.23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^t [P(s) - v^s(t)] ds \\
 &= \int_0^t \left[e^{i\theta} \Phi(t) + s\eta(t) + \frac{s^2}{2} \xi(t)(Au_0 + e^{i\theta}t\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(t)) \right. \\
 & \quad + s\xi(t) - \Phi(s) - e^{i\theta}t\eta(s) \\
 & \quad - e^{i\theta} \frac{t^2}{2} ((\partial A(u_0) + s\partial^2 A(u_0, \partial A(u_0)))\varepsilon(s) \\
 & \quad + \xi(s)(Au_0 + s\partial A(u_0)Au_0) + \varepsilon(s)\xi(s) \\
 (3.24) \quad & \quad \left. + (s-t) \frac{st}{2} e^{i\theta} (\partial A(u_0)\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \partial^2 A(u_0, Au_0)Au_0) \right] ds \\
 &= -\Phi(t) \frac{t^2}{2} \eta(t) + \frac{t^3}{6} \xi(t)(Au_0 + e^{i\theta}t\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \eta(t)) \\
 & \quad + \frac{t^2}{2} \xi(t) - e^{i\theta} \frac{t^2}{2} \int_0^t [(\partial A(u_0) + s\partial A^2(u_0, Au_0))\varepsilon(s) \\
 & \quad \quad + \xi(s)(Au_0 + s\partial A(u_0)Au_0) + \varepsilon(s)\xi(s)] ds \\
 & \quad + \frac{t^4}{12} e^{i\theta} (\partial A(u_0)\partial A(u_0)Au_0 + \partial^2 A(u_0, Au_0)Au_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.22) is obtained.

LEMMA 12. For sufficiently small $t > 0$ we have

$$\left\| \int_{\square_t} u(z) dz \right\| \leq Ct^3.$$

PROOF. From (2.6), . . . , (2.10) and (3.1), . . . , (3.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\square_t} u(z) dz &= \int_0^t u(s) ds + \int_0^t v(s) e^{i\theta} ds - \int_0^t v^s(t) ds - \int_0^t w(s) e^{i\theta} ds \\
 &= \int_0^t u(s) ds + \int_0^t v(s) e^{i\theta} ds - \int_0^t P(s) ds \\
 & \quad - \int_0^t w(s) e^{i\theta} ds + \int_0^t [P(s) - v^s(t)] ds \\
 &= I + e^{i\theta} II - e^{i\theta} III - IV + V.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 7, . . . , Lemma 11 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\square_t} u(z)dz &= \frac{1}{6} t^4 \left(- e^{i\theta} (\partial A(u_0) \partial A(u_0) A u_0 - \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) A u_0) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - e^{2i\theta} t \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) \partial A(u_0) A u_0 + t e^{3i\theta} \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) \partial A(u_0) A u_0 \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{6} t^3 \partial A(u_0) \eta(t) \\
 &\quad + e^{i\theta} \left(t \Phi(t) - \frac{t^4}{6} \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0) \eta(t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{t^2}{2} \int_0^t [(\partial A(u_0) + s \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0)) \varepsilon(s) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \xi(s)(A u_0 + s \partial A(u_0) A u_0) + \varepsilon(s) \xi(s)] ds \right) \\
 &\quad + e^{2i\theta} \frac{t^2}{2} \eta(t) \\
 &\quad + e^{3i\theta} \frac{t^3}{6} ((\partial A(u_0) + t \partial^2 A(u_0, A u_0)) \varepsilon(t) \\
 &\quad + \xi(t)(A u_0 + t \partial A(u_0) A u_0) + \xi(t) \varepsilon(t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then from Lemma 5 there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left\| \int_{\square_t} u(z)dz \right\| \leq C t^3.$$

There exists $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ such that

$$2^{-n} T < \inf\{\varepsilon(u(t)); 0 \leq |t| < T\}.$$

For $t = 2^{-n} s$, we denote $\square(jt + kte^{i\theta}, t, \theta)$ by $\square_{j,k,t}$. By Lemma 12 we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{\square_t} u(z)dz \right\|_G \leq \sum_{j,k=1}^{2^n} \left\| \int_{\square_{j,k,t}} u(z)dz \right\|_G \leq C 4^n t^3 = C 4^n \left(\frac{s}{2^n}\right)^3 \leq C \frac{T^3}{2^n}.$$

Therefore for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists large n such that

$$\left\| \int_{\square_t} u(z)dz \right\|_G \leq C \frac{T^3}{2^n} < \varepsilon.$$

It means that

$$\int_{\square} u(z) dz = 0.$$

In the case that $-\omega < \theta < 0$, the proof is analogous to that of $0 < \theta < \omega$. Hence $u(z)$ is analytic.

To show that u is unique, it suffices to show it for real t since u is analytic. However, for real t , uniqueness of u is included in Theorem A.

REFERENCES

1. H. Brezis, *Operateurs maximaux monotone et semi-groupes de contraction dans les espaces de Hilbert*, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1973.
2. K. Furuya, *Analyticity of solutions of quasilinear evolution equations*, Osaka J. Math. **18** (1981), 669-698.
3. K. Furuya, *Analyticity of solutions of quasilinear evolution equations II*, Osaka J. Math. **20** (1983), 217-236.
4. T. L. Hayden and F. J. Massey III, *Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. **57** (1975), 423-439.
5. T. Kato and H. Tanabe, *On the analyticity of solution of evolution equations*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 1-4.
6. Y. Kōmura, *Differentiability of nonlinear semigroups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **21** (1969), 375-402.
7. Y. Kōmura and Y. Konishi, *Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, Iwanami-shoten, Tokyo, 1977 (in Japanese).
8. F. J. Massey III, *Analyticity of solutions of nonlinear evolution equations*, J. Differ. Equ. **22** (1976), 416-427.
9. K. Masuda, *On the holomorphic evolution operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **39** (1972), 706-711.
10. S. Ōuchi, *On the analyticity in time of solutions of initial boundary value problems for semi-linear parabolic differential equations with monotone nonlinearity*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A **20** (1974), 19-41.
11. K. Yosida, *On the differentiability of semi-groups of linear operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **34** (1958), 337-340.